

# APPLIED TECHNOLOGY

## Rubric

Portfolio							Points
(Hardcopy) Portfolio OR Electronic Portfolio 0-5	0 No portfolio presented	1 2 At least one portfolio is not contained in the official FCCLA binder OR Electronic Portfolio not in viewable format to the judges	3 4 Portfolio presented with incorrect labeling /insufficient materials for judges (less than 2 copies of contents) and contains more than 45 pages.  OR Electronic Portfolio presented with incorrect labeling/ undesirable graphics, etc.	5 Portfolio is presented with correct labeling and sufficient judge's material  OR Electronic Portfolio in viewable format to the judges  AND contains no more than 45 pages including: - 1 project ID page - 1 table of contents - 1 planning process - up to 7 divider pages - up to 35 content pages			
Project Identification Page and/or Table of Contents 0-5 points	0 Both Project Identification Page and Table of Contents is missing	1 Project Identification Page OR Table of Contents is missing	2 3 Project Identification Page and Table of Contents is present but includes incorrect information or exceeds one page	4 5 Project Identification Page and Table of Contents is present and completed correctly			
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0-5 points	0 Not included	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Relationship to FCS and/or Related Occupations 0-10 points	0 Not included	1 2 Vaguely referred to	3 4 Explained, but done so poorly	5 6 Explained fully	7 8 Explained fully with some understanding of the content area	9 10 Explained fully with evidence of mastery of the content area	
Evidence of Research 0-10 points	0 Not explained	1 2 Some research done but incomplete information	3 Research is current but from unreliable sources	5 6 Research is current but only partially describes job description	7 8 Research is current, appropriate for topic; from reliable sources	9 10 Research is current, documented correctly and appropriate for topic	
Evidence of Problem-Solving Skills 0-10 points	0 Not explained	1 2 Problem explained but no solutions given	3 4 Problem explained and alternative solutions are given	5 6 Problem explained, alternative solutions are given and consequences shared	7 8 Problem explained, alternative solutions given, consequences given, justifiable final solution	9 10 Problem explained, alternative solutions given, consequences given, justifiable final solution, and evaluation given	
Evidence of Applied Academics 0-5 points	0 None explained	1 Academic content is mentioned	2 Academic content is briefly summarized	3 Academic content is explained fully	4 Academic content is explained fully with brief mention of integrating and applying content to project	5 Academic content is explained thoroughly and full method of integrating and applying content to project is shared	
Evidence of Technology Used 0-10 points	0 No technology used in project	1 2 Technology used to develop project but no explained	3 4 Technology used but not explained in portfolio	5 6 Technology and techniques used to develop project are explained	7 8 Technology used to develop project was explained and addresses a Family and	9 10 Technology used to develop project addresses a concern related to Family	

					Consumer Sciences concern	and Consumer Sciences and materials were developed to document project	
<b>Works Cited/Bibliography</b> 0-3 points	<b>0</b> No resources listed	<b>1</b> Incomplete list of resources/resources listed are not current or appropriate for project		<b>2</b> Complete list of resources but inconsistent format		<b>3</b> Complete alphabetical list of appropriate resources in a consistent format	
<b>Appearance</b> 0-3 points	<b>0</b> Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	<b>1</b> Portfolio is neat, but contains grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly		<b>2</b> Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling		<b>3</b> Neat, legible, and professional, correct grammar and spelling used; effective organization of information	
<b>Organizational Delivery</b> 0-10 points	<b>0</b> Presentation is not done or speaks briefly and does not cover components of the project	<b>1 2</b> Presentation covers some topic elements	<b>3 4</b> Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	<b>5 6</b> Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the use of technology well	<b>7 8</b> Presentation covers information completely and explains use of technology fully	<b>9 10</b> Presentation covers all relevant information completely and explains technology use with a seamless and logical delivery	
<b>Knowledge of Subject Matter</b> 0-5 points	<b>0</b> Little or no evidence of knowledge	<b>1</b> Minimal evidence of knowledge	<b>2</b> Some evidence of knowledge	<b>3</b> Knowledge of subject matter is evident but not shared in presentation	<b>4</b> Knowledge of subject matter is evident and shared at times in the presentation	<b>5</b> Knowledge of subject matter is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	
<b>Use of Portfolio and Visual during Presentation</b> 0-5 points	<b>0</b> Portfolio and visuals not used during presentation	<b>1</b> Portfolio and visuals used to limit amount of speaking time	<b>2</b> Portfolio and visuals minimally during presentation	<b>3</b> Portfolio and visuals incorporated throughout presentation	<b>4</b> Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	<b>5</b> Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio, and visuals	
<b>Voice – Pitch, Tempo, Volume</b> 0-3 points	<b>0</b> No voice qualities used effectively		<b>1</b> Voice quality is adequate		<b>2</b> Voice is good, but could improve	<b>3</b> Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing to listen to	
<b>Body Language</b> 0-3 points	<b>0</b> Body language shows nervousness or unease/inappropriate dress		<b>1</b> Body language shows minimal amount of nervousness/clothing is appropriate		<b>2</b> Body language is good and clothing is professional	<b>3</b> Body language and clothing choice both enhance the presentation	
<b>Grammar/Word Usage/ Pronunciation</b> 0-3 points	<b>0</b> Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors		<b>1</b> Some (3-5) grammatical and pronunciation errors		<b>2</b> Few 1-2 grammatical and pronunciation errors	<b>3</b> Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors	
<b>Responses to judge's questions</b> 0-5 points	<b>0</b> Did not answer judges' questions	<b>1</b> Unable to answer some questions	<b>2</b> Responded to all questions, but without ease or accuracy	<b>3</b> Responded adequately to all questions	<b>4</b> Gave appropriate responses to judges' questions	<b>5</b> Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation.	

(continued on next page)



Reason for point deduction \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	
<b>Point deductions from one judge's rubrics</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	

Comments:

Verification of total scores (please initial)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
Event Chair

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tally Room